

Social and Personal.

A pretty home wedding was celebrated at noon Wednesday, June 8th, when Miss Mary Minor Hartman, the daughter of Mr. and Mrs. Henry C. Hartman, became the bride of Mr. Lyle G. Bentley. The ceremony was performed at No. 512 East Clay Street by the Rev. Dr. Jero Witherspoon, of Grace Street Presbyterian Church.

The parlors were tastefully decorated with sweet peas and roses. Only the family and a few intimate friends were present. The bride wore a long-sleeved gown of dark blue tulle and a blue chiffon and straw hat. She left with her husband immediately after the ceremony for a trip North. Returning Mr. and Mrs. Bentley will welcome their friends in their home on North Eighth Street. Mr. Bentley is a very popular employee of the Chesapeake and Ohio Railway Company.

Officers Elected.

The annual meeting of the Hollywood Memorial Association was held Wednesday afternoon in the brick schoolhouse of St. John's Church.

The principal business before the meeting was the election of officers. Mrs. Stephen T. Beveridge, to the great regret of the association, tendered her resignation, and in spite of urgent entreaties declined re-election.

After expressing the highest appreciation for the valuable work done by Mrs. Beveridge during the six years of her presidency, and after reference to the growth of the association under her direction, by which its present flourishing condition has been brought about, the election proceeded and resulted in the appointment of Mrs. Virgie C. Pleasant as president; Mrs. Charles W. Hughes as vice-president; Mrs. J. T. Hughes as secretary, and Mrs. D. C. Richardson as treasurer.

Kinzer-Heffley.

Cards have been issued for the marriage of Miss Susan Johnson Hefley, the daughter of Mr. and Mrs. Andrew Worth of Somerset, Pa., to Mr. H. W. Kinzer, son of the late H. W. Kinzer, of Front Royal, Va., and for some time past auditor of the Somerset Coal Company. The wedding will take place June 12th, and the young couple will take in Front Royal on their wedding tour.

The Nashville Reunion.

The annual reunion of Confederate veterans will be held at Nashville, Tenn., June 14th, and continue through Thursday, June 16th. The Confederate Southern Memorial Association will meet in Nashville at the same time. The Richmond Chapter will be represented in the association by Mrs. C. W. P. Brock and Miss Mattie Harris.

The officers of the general reunion committee are Mr. Charles P. Frazier, chairman; Mr. L. C. Garrabrant, vice-chairman; Mr. Joseph Frank, treasurer, and Mr. L. R. Eastman, secretary. The headquarters of the commander-in-chief and adjutant-general will be at the Blackwell House. The ladies' parlor of the First Presbyterian Church, on Church Street, near the Maxwell House, Nashville, will be used by the Confederate Southern Memorial Association.

The Jefferson Davis memorial service will be held at Christ Church, Broad Street, Tuesday morning, June 14th, at 10:30 o'clock. The Right Rev. Thomas F. Gallor, bishop of Tennessee, will make the address. The parade will be held Thursday morning, June 16th, forming promptly at 9:30 o'clock.

General Meeting of A. P. V. A.

The general meeting of the Association for the Preservation of Virginia Antiquities will be held this afternoon at 5 o'clock in the rooms of the Virginia Historical Society. It will be the last meeting of the season.

Church Reception.

The Rev. and Mrs. J. J. Haley will be given a reception in the lecture-room of the Seventh Street Methodist Church, this evening from 8 to 11 o'clock, when the congregation will have an opportunity of meeting Mrs. Haley, who has joined her husband in the home of Miss Gillie Cary and Mrs. Lizzie Cary Daniel, No. 729 East Franklin Street.

The lecture-room will be decorated with flowers and refreshments will be served. Mr. W. M. Hill, Mr. J. A. Cary, Mr. John S. Elliot, Mr. Julian Thompson, and other officers of the church, and their wives, will be among those who will welcome guests and look after their pleasure.

Personal Mention.

Mrs. Clifford B. Caperton and her little son, Master Arthur Lefroy Caperton, sailed June 8th from New York, by the Atlantic liner, for a visit to England and Ireland.

Miss Ella Smeltzer and Miss Blanche Smeltzer, of Staunton, Virginia, who have been spending the winter in Charleston, S. C., have gone to Asheville, Hot Springs and Asheville Springs for the summer.

Dr. W. J. Pollard is in Rustburg, Va., where he will be absent about three weeks.

Miss Lucy A. C. Hughes will attend the Summer School of Music, open at the University of Virginia, June 27th.

Miss Carrie Rison is visiting Miss Ida McLean in Fredericksburg, and attending the commencement exercises at the college.

Miss Ada Gray left Wednesday for her home, "Northfield," in Cumberland county, Va.

Miss Bessie Hunter went to Petersburg yesterday to visit Mrs. Grigg and be present at the unveiling of the memorial windows in old Blandford Church.

Miss Lottie Lambert, of Forty-third Street, Lambert's Point, is visiting her aunt, Mrs. Powell, in Richmond.

Mr. and Mrs. Wallace Gibbs, whose marriage in Memorial Methodist Church, Berkeley, Va., was joined here, were a very smart affair, passed through Richmond on their way to visit the St. Louis Exposition and make an extended Western tour.

Mrs. W. M. Wade has opened the Washington Cottage, at Virginia Beach, with the prospect of a pleasant and successful season.

Mrs. J. G. Elliot is visiting her parents, Mr. and Mrs. O. Owens, in Fredericksburg, Va.

Dr. Edmund S. Pendleton returned to his home in Iron Gate, Va., last night, after a pleasant visit to relatives here. Mrs. Pendleton will remain several days in the city with friends in Louisiana on her way back.

At the wedding last Wednesday in the Main Street Church, Suffolk, Va., of Miss Mary Virginia Vandervelde and Mr. William Simpson Beamon, the bride, a daughter of the late Rev. George Vandervelde, was given away by her brother, Mr. Granbery Vandervelde, of this city.

Hon. George W. Graves, of Seville, Va., has been in the city for several days.

Mrs. William T. Broome and Master Talbot Broome are the guests in Danville, Va., of Mrs. P. W. Forrell.

The ladies of Asbury Church, Richmond, will give a society party Wednesday night, June 15th. The car will leave Beech and Main Streets at 8 o'clock and will traverse the entire line.

The car will be prettily lighted and decorated. Music and refreshments will add to the pleasures of the evening.

Mrs. E. H. Jeffries, of Keyville, Va., is visiting at No. 11 South Foushee Street.

Judge S. G. Whittle will be in Wytheville, Va., for a month.

Dr. E. C. Levy, who has been engaged in some expert work in Springfield,

Wedding Gifts.

We have an exquisite collection of the latest designs in Sterling Silverware and Rich Cut-Glass, that will please June brides.

Graduation Gifts.

Inexpensive, dainty gifts, appropriate to graduation—gifts of intrinsic worth—which will be treasured by the graduate and forever recall the giver's generosity.

Let us show you the latest novelties.

Schwarzschild Bros.,
Leading Jewelers,
Cor. Second & Broad Sts.

Mass., after a visit of some days in New York, will return to Richmond for a stay of several months.

Mrs. Basil D. Spalding will spend the summer at Deer Creek, Md.

Mrs. A. K. Weaver has gone to Winchester, Va.

Miss Alice Dooley and Mrs. John Dooley will spend the summer at Lynnside, near Old Sweet Springs. Miss Nora Houston has not yet decided on her plans for the summer.

Mr. and Mrs. John W. Williams and their little son, Master John W. Williams, Jr., from Petersburg, Va., are spending several days in Richmond, as their friends are pleased to note.

Miss Annie A. Wilson, of Wakefield, Va., came with the Norfolk and Western to Richmond yesterday, spent the day with her brother, Mr. R. L. Wilson, and left for Wakefield last night.

Miss Annie Cabell, a sister of Mr. P. A. C. Cabell, who has been spending the winter in North Carolina, is spending a few days in Richmond before she returns to her home in Nelson county.

Miss Lillian Montague, of the Virginia Hospital, is visiting her parents in Fredericksburg.

Hon. and Mrs. Henry F. Garnett and Miss Garnett are in Richmond for the week. Mr. Garnett being in attendance upon the State Democratic Convention.

The Rev. and Mrs. E. T. Wellford, of Newport News, Va., will arrive Saturday for Glasgow, Scotland, where Mr. Wellford goes as a delegate to the Pan-Presbyterian Council, meeting in Edinburgh this month.

Mr. and Mrs. W. L. Goodwyn, of No. 539 West Grace Street, left yesterday for St. Louis to attend the St. Louis Exposition. From St. Louis they will go for a visit to Professor R. T. Goodwyn, of the St. Charles Military Academy, a brother of Mr. Goodwyn, residing in St. Charles, Mo.

Mr. and Mrs. Maclean Stith Floyd announce the engagement of their daughter, Eleanor, to Mr. Edgar James Turner, the wedding to take place at Ascension Church, Amherst, Va., June 24, at 9 A. M.

Mrs. George A. Lyon was among the number of those who went to the exercises in Old Blandford Church, Petersburg, Va., yesterday.

Miss Emily Waddill, the daughter of Mr. and Mrs. Samuel Waddill, has been invited to join a bridge party at the Rockledge Club, Springfield this summer.

The Confederate veterans of Princess Anne County will hold a social gathering at Princess Anne Courthouse on July 20th, when Hon. John Goode, Governor of Virginia, and Governor McHenry will make addresses and a famous repast will crown the celebration.

CUT THIS OUT AND KEEP IT.

WITH EDGED TOOLS.

BY HENRY SETON MERRIMAN.

ONE OF MERRIMAN'S STRONGEST BOOKS.

CHAPTER XXVII.—CONTINUED.

With a feeling of considerable satisfaction Joseph approached the bungalow at Loango three days later. The short sea voyage had somewhat revived Meredith, who had been desirous of walking up from the beach, but after a short attempt had been compelled to enter the spring-cart which Joseph had secured.

Joseph walked by the side of this cart with an erect carriage, and a suppressed impatience suggestive of ambivalence duty in the old days.

As the somewhat melancholy cortege approached the house, Meredith drew back the husky brown-holland curtain and looked out at the sea.

Joseph's eyes devoured expectation. He thought that Jocelyn would presently emerge from the flower-hung trellis of the veranda; and he had rehearsed over and over again a neat, respectful speech, explanatory of his action in bringing a sick man to the house.

But the hanging fronds of flower and leaf remained motionless, and the cart drove, unchallenged, round to the principal door.

A black servant—a stranger—held the handle, and stood back invitingly. Supported by Joseph's arm, Jack Meredith entered. The servant threw open the drawing-room door; the passed in. The room was empty. On the table lay two letters, one addressed to Guy Osceola, the other to Jack Meredith.

Meredith felt suddenly how weak he was, and sat wearily down on the sofa at the other end of the room.

Joseph looked at him keenly. There was something forlorn and cold about the room—about the whole house—with the silent, smiling black servants and the shaded windows.

Then, with quick, practiced hands, he poured a small quantity of brandy into the cup of his flask. "Drink this first, sir," he said.

Jack Meredith fumbled rather feebly at the bottle. It was distinctly an effort to him to reach the paper.

"My Dear Meredith (he read)—Just a line to tell you that the bungalow and contents are at your service. No more change of air. I have been a bit seedy. I leave this at the bungalow, and we shall feel hurt if you do not make the house your home whenever you happen

LIABLE FOR ACTUAL LOSS

Interstate Commerce Commission So Decides as to Damages to Fruit in Shipment.

GEORGIA GROWERS LOSE CASE

The Charges From Atlanta to New York Held to Be Not Unreasonable.

(By Associated Press.)

WASHINGTON, D. C., June 8.—The Interstate Commerce Commission today, in an opinion by Commissioner Fifer, announced its decision in the case of Georgia Peach Growers' Association against the Atlantic Coast Line Railroad Company and others, involved in the liability of the carrier for damage of fruit through negligence, as well as the question of proper freight rates.

The commission holds that the transportation companies are responsible for damage to fruit through negligence of the carrier to the full amount of the injury sustained, without regard to the valuation placed upon it. It was further held that the regulation whereby the freight rates on peaches and other fruit from Georgia points is increased in proportion to the carload valuation fixed by the shipper, is unreasonable and unjust. The charge of \$80 per car imposed by the New York, New Haven and Hartford Railroad Company on fruit from New York to Boston, the commission holds to be unreasonable and unjust, and should be reduced to \$50.

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New York, based upon a rate of 80 cents from Atlanta to New York, is unreasonable or unjust."

The opinion concludes as follows: "Upon all the facts and circumstances, including, on the one hand, the difficulties and liability to loss attending the production and shipment of peaches, and on the other hand, the large percentage of cars loaded above the prescribed minimum weights for carloads, for which excess no charge is made by the carriers, the exceptional character of the service which involves fast time and prompt delivery at destination, the carriage of a large amount of non-paying freight, return of cars without loads, and many other conditions relating to the highly perishable nature of the traffic, the commission holds that neither the minimum carload weight nor the transportation charge established by the defendants engaged in the carriage of peaches in refrigerator cars from Georgia points to New